



Chairman and Members of the  
Development Management  
Committee

Your contact: Peter Mannings  
Extn: 2174  
Date: 13 August 2020

cc. All other recipients of the  
Development Management  
Committee agenda

Dear Councillor,

**DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE - 12 AUGUST 2020**

Please find attached the Additional Representations Summary as circulated by the Head of Planning and Building Control prior to the meeting in respect of the following:

5. Planning Applications and Unauthorised Development for Consideration by the Committee (Pages 3 - 4)

Yours faithfully,

Peter Mannings  
Democratic Services Officer  
East Herts Council  
[peter.mannings@eastherts.gov.uk](mailto:peter.mannings@eastherts.gov.uk)

**MEETING** : DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE  
**VENUE** : PLEASE NOTE THAT THIS MEETING WILL BE HELD  
VIRTUALLY ON ZOOM  
**DATE** : WEDNESDAY 12 AUGUST 2020  
**TIME** : 7.00 PM

This page is intentionally left blank

**East Herts Council: Development Management Committee  
Date: 12<sup>th</sup> August 2020**

**Summary of additional representations received after completion of reports submitted to the committee, but received by 5pm on the date of the meeting.**

Agenda No	Summary of representations	Officer comments
5a	10 additional responses have been received from residents. 1 in support, 8 in objection and 1 neutral	The points raised are as included in the report
	The EHDC Landscape Officer considers that the layout does not relate well to the geometry of the site, most noticeably in the centre and southeast corner. There is a lack of landscaping spaces and the green infrastructure and sustainable drainage is located in the southeast corner a central location would give higher visual amenity. The proposed trees are too small.	The comments are noted but overall it is considered that the layout is of good urban design quality as stated in the report. Layout design issues raised by EHDC Conservation and Urban Design Officer have been addressed. It should be noted that much of the layout is dictated by the retention and conversion of existing buildings. The landscape strategy is indicative and detailed design is

		addressed by condition 8. It is considered that the issues raised would not substantiate a reason for refusal in the overall balance of considerations and that the size and quality of planting can be satisfactorily addressed by condition.
	Sacombe Parish Council comment that whilst noting the reduction in dwelling numbers they reiterate their previous objection	As included in the report.